

Agenda with Minutes

Technical Advisory Board

Thu Jul 03 2014 13:30:00 GMT+0200 (CEST)

Attendees (7)

Robin Benjamins (chair)

Kari Anne Haaland Thorsen (co-chair)

David Leal

Avin Mathew

Magne Valen-Sendstad

Nils Sandsmark (observing)

Håvard M. Ottestad (minutes)

Minutes

1 Approval of Agenda

Approved.

2 Approval of Minutes

Approved.

3 SIG Reports presentation

3.1 Instrumentation SIG

Agenda for next meeting: 24 July 2014

All,

Please find herewith the agenda for today's Instrument Special Interest Group Teleconference:

- Team confirmation of Instr SIG Overview & Project Plan
- Follow-up items from 29 May web meeting
- Update on IIMM PCE references overview document (Keith)
- Path forward for IIP and Instr SIG TIPs coordination (Rob/Keith/Darius)
- Feedback on Common Data Dictionary (IEC 61987) and Emerson Information Model Approach (Ravi/Nisha with input from Hindrik)
- Review Dan's screen shot of the OLOP & DLOP work in IEC (DEXPI?)
- How do the PCE loops map to the IEC CDD work?
- Review Hindrik's docs (impact to Roadmap doc)
- Hawk's view
- OntoCAPE
- Work flows in the 4 information (not the data) levels (Corporate, Compound (Pre/project), Document, Content for ISO 15926)

Regards,

Faith is facilitating the Instrumentation SIG.

Action (1 2014-07-03): Ask Ravi if he wants Faith to take over the Instrumentation SIG and participate in the TAB meetings.

3.2 Subsurface SIG

- Reviewing the Reference Data from reporting hub.
- Review in progress at PCA.

3.3 Operation and Maintenance SIG

- Main event is the Mimosa Fiatch PCA meeting in September.
- Working on data-sheets, and templates in Excel.
- Looking at existing standards
- Will potentially result in new reference data items for the RDL

4 A simpler approval process for additions to the RDL

Link to document:

<https://www.posccaesar.org/wiki/RdsMaintenanceProcedure/simplified>

Discussion about the use of the staging endpoint and what happens when content is removed from the staging endpoint.

The reference data in the production endpoint will not be removed.

What happens at the end of the review window? The content will be copied into the production endpoint and will not be deleted from the staging endpoint.

Does the request require positive feedback before it moves into production?

- Possibly prompting users that about the proposal
- Or having a sign-off required by a minimum number of people
- Could be easier to try this out to see how it works and then handle any cases where there are no comments.

Notification process.

- Subscription of changes to the staging endpoint (and possibly the production endpoint)

Different approaches. The crowd-sourced vs. the authoritative model. Someone at PCA will also look at the proposal.

Finally there will be some black-out periods where new requests will not be taken. The whole of July, August and December.

5 Orientation about the efforts towards an improved version of ISO 15926-4

More info: <http://posccaesar.org/p4/ns/info/>

Differences between the RDL and the 15926-4 spreadsheets:

<http://posccaesar.org/spreadsheets/#/counts>

Many of the differences in the tool are minor differences like a "." at the end of a line, or changes because of a changed entity type.

Also align 15926-4 with part 6.

The results should be available in the current format (15926-2 OWL) and the new 15926-12 OWL version.

6 A.O.B.

None.

Next meeting should be 7th of August.

Have a nice holiday.

Actions

Action (1 2014-07-03): Ask Ravi if he wants Faith to take over the Instrumentation SIG and participate in the TAB meetings.